UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/529,773	06/30/2000	Anil K. Agarwal	A7046	3512

7590 03/21/2007

Sughrue Mion Zinn
Macpeak & Seas
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER	
DEAN, RAYMOND S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2618	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/529,773	AGARWAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Raymond S. Dean	2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *30 June 2000*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-18* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-18* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on *30 June 2000* is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 – 2, 5, 8 – 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strodtbeck et al. (5,864,547) in view of Washburn et al. (4,999,583).

Regarding Claim 1, Strodtbeck teaches a distributed network under the control of a computer (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, typical satellite systems comprise network control centers (NCCs), which comprise at least one computer), the network having a first terminal including the amplifier operatively coupled to a plurality of second terminals by a communication channel (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, 4 lines 45 – 47, the VSATs can communicate with one another), said method comprising steps for: generating bit error rate (BER) messages indicative of measured BER for a signal transmitted at N power levels (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47, the uplink power can be a plurality of power levels, byte errors comprise bit errors), said BER messages including respective tags indicative of the N power levels for that BER, at the second terminals (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47, the error rates are an indication of the power level, for example- an improved bit error rate is an indication that the power is higher);

reducing the maximum allowed power of the amplifier responsive to the BER messages (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47).

Strodtbeck does not teach reducing the maximum allowed power of the amplifier when it is determined that the amplifier is approaching saturation responsive to the BER messages and a method for dynamically determining the power compression point of an amplifier.

Washburn teaches reducing the maximum allowed power of the amplifier when it is determined that the amplifier is approaching saturation and a method for dynamically determining the power compression point of an amplifier (Col. 2 lines 53 – 68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the amplifier of Strodtbeck with the amplifier control circuitry of Washburn for the purpose of enabling the amplifier remain below its maximum safe operating level as taught by Washburn.

Regarding Claim 5, Strodtbeck teaches a distributed network under the control of a computer (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, typical satellite systems comprise network control centers (NCCs), which comprise at least one computer), the network having a first terminal including the amplifier operatively coupled to a plurality of second terminals by a communication channel (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, 4 lines 45 – 47, the VSATs can communicate with one another), said method comprising steps for: transmitting a signal transmitted at N power levels to the second terminals, where N is a positive integer (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47, the uplink power can be a plurality of power levels); measuring a bit error rate (BER) for each of said N power levels at the

second terminals (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47); generating BER messages including respective tags indicative of said N power levels for that BER (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47, the error rates are an indication of the power level, for example- an improved bit error rate is an indication that the power is higher); transmitting the BER messages to the computer (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, the data, which comprises the error measurements, is re-formatted and transmitted); and reducing the maximum allowed power of the amplifier responsive to the BER messages (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47).

Strodtbeck does not teach reducing the maximum allowed power of the amplifier when it is determined that the amplifier is approaching saturation responsive to the BER messages and a method for dynamically determining the power compression point of an amplifier.

Washburn teaches reducing the maximum allowed power of the amplifier when it is determined that the amplifier is approaching saturation and a method for dynamically determining the power compression point of an amplifier (Col. 2 lines 53 – 68).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the amplifier of Strodtbeck with the amplifier control circuitry of Washburn for the purpose of enabling the amplifier remain below its maximum safe operating level as taught by Washburn.

Regarding Claims 2, 8, Strodtbeck in view of Washburn teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 1, 5. Strodtbeck further teaches wherein N is an integer greater than 2 (Cols. 5 lines 10 – 60, 6 lines 17 – 47, the uplink power can be a

plurality of power levels, which comprises a numbers greater than 2), and wherein said reducing step comprises for: determining an average BER responsive to said BER messages (Col. 5 lines 10 – 60, the number of errors is averaged); determining the BER slope responsive to said average BER (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47); and reducing the maximum allowed power when the BER slope is indicative of lower slope at higher power levels (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, region 104 of plot represents the lower slope).

Regarding Claim 9, Strodtbeck in view of Washburn teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 5. Strodtbeck further teaches determining an average BER responsive to said BER messages (Col. 5 lines 10 – 60, the number of errors is averaged); determining the BER slope responsive to said average BER (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47); and evaluating the BER slope with respect to reference power-BER data stored in the computer (Figure 4, Cols. 5 lines 57 – 60, 6 lines 30 – 47, the look-up table provides the storage, .00125,.0225 is the reference BER); and reducing the maximum allowed power when the BER slope and said reference power-BER data diverge to thereby indicate a lower slope at higher power levels (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, region 104 of plot represents the divergence).

3. Claims 3 – 4, 6 – 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strodtbeck et al. (5,864,547) in view of Washburn et al. (4,999,583), as applied to Claim 1 above, and further in view of Ichiyoshi (5,991,280).

Regarding Claim 3, Strodtbeck in view of Washburn teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 1. Strodtbeck in view of Washburn does not teach wherein the signal is a control burst.

Ichiyoshi teaches wherein the signal is a control burst (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Strodtbeck in view of Washburn with the control burst of Ichiyoshi for the purpose of synchronizing the VSATs without depending on a GPS system as taught by Ichiyoshi.

Regarding Claims 4, 7, Strodtbeck in view of Washburn and further in view of Ichiyoshi teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 3, 6. Washburn further teaches the control burst is N sequential frames, wherein N is an integer greater than or equal to 2 (Abstract, in a TDMA system typical control bursts are greater than 2 frames).

Regarding Claim 6, Strodtbeck in view of Washburn teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 5. Strodtbeck further teaches wherein the communication channel comprises a satellite (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48).

Strodtbeck in view of Washburn does not teach wherein the signal is a control burst.

Ichiyoshi teaches wherein the signal is a control burst (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Strodtbeck in view of Washburn with the control burst of Ichiyoshi for the purpose of synchronizing the VSATs without depending on a GPS system as taught by Ichiyoshi.

4. Claims 10 – 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strodtbeck et al. (5,864,547) in view of Ichiyoshi (5,991,280).

Regarding Claim 10, Strodtbeck teaches a method for dynamic uplink power control for an amplifier in a distributed network under the control of a computer (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, 4 lines 45 – 47, typical satellite systems comprise network control centers (NCCs), which comprise at least one computer), the network having a first terminal including the amplifier operatively coupled to a plurality of second terminals by a communication channel (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, 4 lines 45 – 47, the VSATs can communicate with one another), said method comprising steps for: examining a plurality of bit error rate measurement reports (Col. 5 lines 10 – 60); computing an average BER responsive to said BER measurement reports (Col. 5 lines 10 – 60, the number of errors is averaged); when said average BER is greater than said predetermined BER threshold, increasing power level of the amplifier (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, region 106); and when said average BER is less than said predetermined BER threshold, decreasing power level of amplifier (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, region 104).

Strodtbeck does not teach a control burst.

Ichiyoshi teaches wherein the signal is a control burst (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Strodtbeck with the control burst of Ichiyoshi for the purpose of synchronizing the VSATs without depending on a GPS system as taught by Ichiyoshi.

Regarding Claim 14, Strodtbeck teaches a method for dynamic uplink power control for an amplifier in a distributed network under the control of a computer (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, 4 lines 45 – 47, typical satellite systems comprise network control centers (NCCs), which comprise at least one computer), the network having a first terminal including the amplifier operatively coupled to a plurality of second terminals by a communication channel (Figure 1, Col. 3 lines 44 – 48, 4 lines 45 – 47, the VSATs can communicate with one another), said method comprising steps for: examining a plurality of bit error rate measurement reports (Col. 5 lines 10 – 60); computing an average BER responsive to said BER measurement reports (Col. 5 lines 10 – 60, the number of errors is averaged); comparing said average BER with a predetermined BER threshold (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, region 106); when said average BER is greater than said predetermined BER threshold, increasing power level of the amplifier (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, region 106); and when said average BER is less than said predetermined BER threshold, decreasing power level of amplifier (Figure 4, Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, region 104).

Strodtbeck does not teach a control burst.

Ichiyoshi teaches wherein the signal is a control burst (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Strodtbeck with the control burst of Ichiyoshi for the purpose of synchronizing the VSATs without depending on a GPS system as taught by Ichiyoshi.

Regarding Claims 11, 15, Strodtbeck in view of Ichiyoshi teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 10, 14. Strodtbeck further teaches determining whether the power level of the amplifier is greater than a predetermined maximum power level; and when the power level is greater than said predetermined maximum power level, varying at least one characteristic of a signal carried by the communications channel so as to reduce the BER (Col. 6 lines 30 – 47, an improved BER corresponds to a change in the transmit power).

Regarding Claims 12, 16, Strodtbeck in view of Ichiyoshi teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claims 10, 14. Strodtbeck further teaches determining whether the power level of the amplifier is greater than a predetermined maximum power level; and when the power level is greater than said predetermined maximum power level, establishing binary phase shift keying (BPSK) as the signal modulation technique (Col. 5 lines 3 – 8, when the power level is greater than or less than the predetermined maximum power level BPSK used or QPSK is used); and when the power level is less than said predetermined maximum power level, establishing quadrature phase shift keying (QPSK) as the signal modulation technique (Col. 5 lines 3 – 8, when the power level is greater than or less than the predetermined maximum power level BPSK used or QPSK is used).

Regarding Claims 13, 17, Strodtbeck in view of Ichiyoshi teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 12, 16. Strodtbeck further teaches wherein said determining step is performed following said increasing step (Col. 4 lines 45 – 49, the UPLC is adaptive to mitigate the effect of interference and rain fade thus there will be a

constant determining after the increasing thus enabling the power to be constantly adjusted).

Regarding Claim 18, Strodtbeck in view of Ichiyoshi teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim 14. Strodtbeck further teaches determining up and down power values U and D, respectively, based on measured and target BERs; and wherein: said increasing step comprises increasing power level of the amplifier by U dB (Figure 4, Cols. 4 lines 45 – 49, 6 lines 30 – 47); said decreasing step comprises decreasing the power level of the amplifier by D dB (Figure 4, Cols. 4 lines 45 – 49, 6 lines 30 – 47); and U and D are real numbers stored in a database of the computer (Figure 4, Col. 5 lines 57 – 60, look-up table is the database).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean
March 14, 2007

EDWARD F. URBAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600